DOC NO LO

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN | PH 3: 34

	CLERK US DISC COURT
NEIL GAIMAN, a resident of)
Wisconsin, and MARVELS AND)
MIRACLES, LLC, a Wisconsin)
Limited Liability Company,)
Plaintiffs,) Case No. 0200048 S
v.))
TODD MCFARLANE, a resident of)
Arizona, and TODD MCFARLANE)
PRODUCTIONS, INC., an Arizona)
corporation, TMP INTERNATIONAL,)
INC., a Michigan corporation,)
MCFARLANE WORLDWIDE, INC.,	
a Michigan corporation, and IMAGE)
COMICS, INC., a California)
corporation,)
Defendants.)
Detendants.)
)

AFFIDAVIT OF R. SCOTT FELDMANN IN SUPPORT OF
ALL DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES
AND COSTS AS "PREVAILING PARTIES" PURSUANT TO
FED. R. CIV. P. 54(d) AND 17 U.S.C. SECTION 505

AFFIDAVIT OF R. SCOTT FELDMANN

After being first duly sworn, R. Scott Feldmann, states as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice before all courts in the State of California and am an associate in the law firm of Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison LLP ("Brobeck"). I am admitted to this Court *pro hac vice* and am counsel of record for Defendant Image Comics, Inc. ("Image Comics"). I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein or know them to be true from the records of this case and, if called as a witness in this matter, I could and would testify competently thereto under oath.
- 2. I graduated from U.C. Berkeley's law school in 1993, and since that time my practice has focused upon intellectual property matters.
- 3. Image moves for recovery of its attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to Rule 54(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and 17 U.S.C. § 505, which provides for the recovery of costs and attorney fees to the prevailing party in a civil action under the copyright statute.
- 4. The Court's August 22, 2002 Memorandum and Order in this case dismissed counts II and III of plaintiffs' Amended Complaint with prejudice. Each of these claims was for copyright infringement per 17 U.S.C. § 101, et seq.

- 5. Image Comics incurred attorneys' fees *exceeding* \$74,672.75 from initiation of this lawsuit through July 22, 2002, when plaintiffs abandoned their copyright infringement counts.
- 6. Attached as Exhibit "A" is a Bill of Costs requested by Image Comics. Image Comics has in fact incurred and paid each of those costs (and has also paid additional costs whose recovery is not authorized by statute, and which it therefore has not asked the Court to award) in the amount of \$1,947.84.
- 7. Each of the costs summarized in Image Comics costs memorandum and supported in Exhibit "A" was reasonably necessary to the conduct of the litigation, not merely convenient or beneficial to its preparation.
- 8. Brobeck charged Image Comics its usual hourly rates, or a discounted rate, for each of the attorneys and the paralegal who worked on this matter. From February 2002 through the present time in which Image Comics defended this case, the hourly rates of Brobeck's principal timekeepers charged to Image Comics were: John S. Baker \$395.00; R. Scott Feldmann \$410.00 from February 2002 through April 2002, decreasing to \$395.00 from May 2002 through July 2002; Matthew C. Lapple \$335.00; and Vanetta Perisho (paralegal), \$165.00. Based on that knowledge, I know that the hourly rates of the Brobeck attorneys were reasonable and comparable to the prevailing hourly rates of attorneys with comparable skill and experience practicing in California. Likewise, I know that

the hourly rate charged to Image Comics for our senior paralegal, Vanetta Perisho, is consistent with prevailing rates charged by comparable firms for paralegals in California with similar skills and experience.

- 9. Moreover, I have been Image Comics' outside intellectual property counsel since at least the beginning of 1997. The rates sought are those regularly and customarily paid by Image to the Brobeck firm. The information learned from this longstanding representation has resulted in lower investigation costs for this case.
- 10. After reviewing the legal bills, I can fairly state that at least half (50%) of our time was devoted to defeating the lead copyright counts. This includes drafting a motion to dismiss and reply brief, wherein Image at the outset pointed out the lack of any basis for prosecuting these claims. That time further includes obtaining a supporting declaration, reviewing documents and attending various out-of-state depositions taken by plaintiffs seeking to prosecute those copyright claims. Therefore, Image seeks reimbursement of \$37,336.38 in fees and \$973.92 in costs, for a total of \$38,310.30 in fees and costs.
- 11. Further, the total amount sought is reasonable, inasmuch as Image Comics has not retained local counsel in this case.

Executed this 5th day of September 2002 at Irvine, California.

R. Scott Feldmann

Signed and sworn to before me this 5th day of September, 2002.

Notary Public

My Commission expires: Nov 29, 2002

AO 133 (Rev. 9/89) Bill of Costs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Western District of Wisconsin

NEIL GAIMAN, a resident of Wisconsin, and MARVELS AND MIRACLES, LLC, a Wiscons

BILL OF COSTS

V.
TODD MCFARLANE, a resident of Arizona,

Case Number: 0200048 S

TODD MCFARLANE, a resident of Arizona, and TODD MACFARLANE PRODUCTIONS, INC. an

Judgment having been entered in the above entitled action on 08/22/02 against Plaintiffs Date the Clerk is requested to tax the following as costs:
Fees of the Clerk\$
Fees for service of summons and subpoena
Fees of the court reporter for all or any part of the transcript necessarily obtained for use in the case
Fees and disbursements for printing
Fees for witnesses (itemize on reverse side)
Fees for exemplification and copies of papers necessarily obtained for use in the case
Docket fees under 28 U.S.C. 1923
osts as shown on Mandate of Court of Appeals
Compensation of court-appointed experts
Compensation of interpreters and costs of special interpretation services under 28 U.S.C. 1828
Other costs (please itemize)
DECLARATION
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing costs are correct and were necessarily incurred in this action and that the services for which fees have been charged were actually and necessarily performed. A copy of this bill was mailed today with postage prepaid to: SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST
Signature of Attorney: R. Scott Feldmann
Name of Attorney: R. Scott Feldmann
For: Defendant Image Comics, Inc. Name of Claiming Party Date: 9/5/02
ase take notice that I will appear before the clerk who will tax said costs on at
Costs are taxed in the amount of and included in the judgment.
Clerk of Court By: Deputy Clerk EXHIBIT PAGE Date

NAME AND RESIDENCE	ATTEN	IDANCE	SUBSISTENCE		MILEAGE		T10
	Days	Total Cost	Days	Total Cost	Miles	Total Cost	Total Cost Each Witness
•	·						
						1	
						}	
						l	
						[
			į.				
	.						
		······································					

NOTICE

Section 1924, Title 28, U.S. Code (effective September 1, 1948) provides:

"Sec. 1924. Verification of bill of costs."

See also Section 1920 of Title 28 which reads in part as follows:

" A bill of costs shall be filed in the case and, upon allowance, included in the judgment or decree."

The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure contain the following provisions:

Rule 54 (d)

" Except when express provision therefor is made either in a statute of the United States or in these rules, costs shall be allowed as of course to the prevailing party unless the court otherwise directs, but costs against the United States, its officers, and agencies shall be imposed only to the extent permitted by law. Costs may be taxed by the clerk on one day's notice. On motion served within 5 days thereafter, the action of the clerk may be reviewed by the court."

Rule 6 (e)

" Whenever a party has the right or is required to do some act or take some proceedings within a prescribed period after the service of a notice or other paper upon him and the notice or paper is served upon him by mail, 3 days shall be added to the prescribed period."

Rule 58 (In Part)

"Entry of the judgment shall not be delayed for the taxing of costs."

[&]quot;Before any bill of costs is taxed, the party claiming any item of cost or disbursement shall attach thereto an affidavit, made by himself or by his duly authorized attorney or agent having knowledge of the facts, that such item is correct and has been necessarily incurred in the case and that the services for which fees have been charged were actually and necessarily performed."

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE

I am employed in the City of Irvine, County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 38 Technology Drive, Irvine, California 92618-2301. On September 5, 2002, I served the documents named below on the parties in this action as follows:

DOCUMENT(S) SERVED: AFFIDAVIT OF R. SCOTT FELDMANN IN SUPPORT OF ALL DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS AS "PREVAILING PARTIES" PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 54(d) AND 17 U.S.C. SECTION 505 SERVED UPON: SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST (BY MAIL) I caused each such envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid, to be placed in the United States mail at Irvine, California. I am readily familiar with the practice of Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison LLP for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing, said practice being that in the ordinary course of business, mail is deposited in the United States Postal Service the same day as it is placed for collection. (BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I delivered to an authorized courier or driver authorized by Sherman, Alexander & Associates LLC to receive documents to be delivered on the same date. A proof of service signed by the authorized courier will be filed with the court upon request. (BY FEDERAL EXPRESS) I am readily familiar with the practice of Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison for collection and processing of correspondence for overnight delivery and know that the document(s) described herein will be deposited in a box or other facility regularly maintained by Federal Express for overnight delivery. (BY FACSIMILE) The above-referenced document was transmitted by facsimile transmission and the transmission was reported as complete and without error. Pursuant to C.R.C. 2009(i), I caused the transmitting facsimile machine to issue properly a transmission report, a copy of which is attached to this Declaration. (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. (FEDERAL) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court, at whose direction this service was made.

Executed on September 5, 2002, at Irvine, California.

Patti L. Gruwel

Case: 3:02-cv-00048-bbc Document #: 110 Filed: 09/11/02 Page 9 of 9

PROOF OF SERVICE LIST

Jeffrey A. Simmons, Esq. Foley Lardner Attorneys at Law Verex Plaza 150 East Gilman Street Madison, Wisconsin 53703-1481 Phone (608) 257-5035 Facsimile (608) 258-4258 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Neil Gaiman and Marvels And Miracles, LLC

Kenneth F. Levin Kenneth F. Levin and Associates 20 North Wacker Drive, Suite 2200 Chicago, Illinois 60606 Phone (312) 984-6000 Facsimile (312) 977-2990 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Neil Gaiman and Marvels And Miracles, LLC

Mark Hellmann Michael Rechtin Joseph Talarico Foley & Lardner 1 IBM Plaza 330 N. Wabash, Suite 3300 Chicago, Illinois 60611 Phone (312) 755-1900 Facsimile (312) 755-1925 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Neil Gaiman and Marvels And Miracles, LLC

Michael Kahn, Esq. Stinson, Mag & Fizzell 100 S. 4th Street Suite 700 St. Louis, Missouri 63102 Phone (314) 259-4529 Facsimile (314) 259-4599 Attorneys for Defendants
Todd McFarlane;
Todd McFarlane Productions, Inc.;
TMP International, Inc.; and
McFarlane Worldwide, Inc.

Todd G. Smith LaFollette Godfrey & Kahn One East Main Street P.O. Box 2719 Madison, Wisconsin 53701-3911 Phone (608) 257-3911 Facsimile (608) 257-0609 Attorneys for Defendants
Todd McFarlane;
Todd McFarlane Productions, Inc.;
TMP International, Inc.; and
McFarlane Worldwide, Inc.